

Page 2 1 HEARING re Notice of Agenda/ Agenda for February 21, 2020 2 Omnibus Hearing 3 Motion of Debtors for Entry of an Order (I) Authorizing the 4 5 Rejection of Commercial Lease, (II) Authorizing Entry into 6 New Headquarters Lease, (III) Authorizing the Assumption of 7 Commercial Leases, and (IV) Granting Related Relief 8 (ECF 796) 9 10 Notice of Hearing on Debtors Ex Parte Motion for Entry of an 11 Order Shortening Notice with Respect to Debtors Motion for 12 Authorization to Enter into Development Agreement (related 13 document(s) 825, 824, 826) 14 15 Motion to Authorize / Debtors Motion for Authorization to 16 Enter into Development Agreement [Redacted] 17 Application to Employ KPMG LLP as Tax Consultants / 18 19 Application for Order Authorizing Employment and Retention of KPMG LLP as Tax Consultants to the Debtors and the 20 21 Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors Nunc Pro Tunc to 22 December 23, 2019 filed by Eli J. Vonnegut on behalf of 23 Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF 815) 24 25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
4	Attorneys for UCC
5	One Bryant Park
6	New York, NY 10036
7	
8	BY: SARA L. BRAUNER
9	ARIK PREIS
10	MITCHELL HURLEY
11	EDAN LISOVICZ
12	
13	CAPLIN & DRYSDALE
14	Attorneys for Multi-State Governmental Entities Group
15	One Thomas Circle, NW, Suite 1100
16	Washington, DC 20005
17	
18	BY: GEORGE M. O'CONNOR
19	
20	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
21	Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Non-Consenting States
22	31 West 52nd Street
23	New York, NY 10019
24	
25	BY: ANDREW M. TROOP

	. g . o. o.	Page 4
1	DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP	
2	Attorneys for the Debtors	
3	450 Lexington Avenue	
4	New York, NY 10017	
5		
6	BY: JAMES I. MCCLAMMY	
7	MARSHALL HUEBNER	
8	TIMOTHY GRAULICH	
9		
10	OTTERBOURG	
11	AHC Counsel	
12	230 Park Avenue	
13	New York, NY 10169	
14		
15	BY: MELANIE L. CYGANOWSKI	
16		
17	DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP	
18	Attorneys for Beacon Company	
19	919 Third Avenue	
20	New York, NY 10022	
21		
22	BY: JASMINE BALL	
23		
24		
25		

	1 9 3 01 37
	Page 5
1	ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:
2	
3	MICHELLE BURKART
4	JESSE CLOYD
5	DONALD E. CREADORE
6	HEATHER M. CROCKETT
7	SEAN DALY
8	SUSAN ELLIS
9	CAROLINE F. GANGE
10	ERIC M. GOLD
11	TAYLOR B. HARRISON
12	JEREMY HILL
13	JEREMY C. KLEINMAN
14	KELLY V. KNIGHT
15	ANDREA LAW
16	MARK LIGHTNER
17	EDAN S. LISOVICZ
18	BRIAN MASUMOTO
19	ANNA MCDERMOTT
20	GEOFF MULVIHILL
21	S. MICHAEL MURPHY
22	BRETT V. NEWMAN
23	ROBERT P. PADJEN
2 4	JEREMY PEARLMAN
25	MICHAEL PERA

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Okay, good morning. In re Purdue

Pharma, et al.

MR. HUEBNER: Good morning, Your Honor. For the record, Marshall Huebner of Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP here on behalf of Purdue and its affiliated debtors.

Your Honor, I'm happy to report that we continue to make progress on a variety of important issues, both large and small. Number one I should note, although there will be a lot of things to talk about, we are gratified that this omnibus hearing is uncontested, which, as I told you on the first day of the case, I view as the mark of success of the hearing, which is due to the hard work of many people.

Before turning to the agenda, there are three important updates, but I think that the Court, and to the extent that a few parties don't know about them, should have. Number one, the Debtors are delighted to announce that Secretary Tom Vilsack has been retained as the monitor as contemplated under and to ensure and report on compliance with to the Debtor's requested and granted self-injunction.

Among many other things, Secretary Vilsack was the United States Secretary of Agriculture for eight years and served as a two-term governor of Iowa.

And, Your Honor, I won't repeat it, but I discussed at the last hearing the choice of this candidate

in particular reflects the very notable respect for creditor views and input that the Debtors brought to this matter. By the way, actually are beyond what they were required to do under the terms of the injunction itself in terms of consultation rights. So I think everyone is delighted with Secretary Vilsack. This is sort of the formal announcement of the appointment. And we will be issuing a press release later today making it publicly known.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HUEBNER: Number two, Your Honor, I hopefully will end up delighted in retrospect as well to announce that yesterday afternoon the Debtors filed a motion to retain the Honorable Layn Phillips and Kenneth Feinberg to jointly mediate a critical issue to all of us, including the Court, that we've been discussing now for many months including Your Honor, from really the opening days of this case, which is the potential of allocation of value in these cases between on the one hand state and local governments, and on the other hand private claimants.

This motion is set for March 2nd. Your Honor was kind enough to give us an extra hearing date yet again in between our omnibuses. And so I will say nothing more about it, because today is not the day for that. And I also don't want to sort of say anything that would risk other people feeling they need to make this a mini set of presentations.

It was a tremendous amount of work by many, many parties to get to the framework. And we'll talk about it more on March 2nd.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HUEBNER: Number three, Your Honor, where the news is -- I guess maybe I'm going from totally untraveled to still slightly complicated. So it's a little bit more complicated, but still nonetheless positive I hope, is news with respect to the ERF.

As Your Honor of course knows, at every hearing I comment and I say I desperately hope that will have the motion on file before the next hearing. There definitely has been progress. So let me tell you where I think we stand. Again, nothing is up for today, but because I know it is an issue of great interest to the Court, as many other parties who are not in the rooms where things are behind hashed out, I thought it was appropriate to quickly tell the Court my belief as to where we are.

The parties have been exchanging calls, emails, drafts, markups, for several weeks now, virtually on a daily basis. And I think it's fair to say that the Debtors have tried to serve as cajoler and mediator and facilitator-inchief. On the one hand, there has been very substantial progress reconciling views on a wide variety of topics. The EFR is an issue, understandably, on which there are

incredibly strongly and passionately held views as top the appropriate use of the money, and the vehicles for the money, and the oversight and the like.

I believe that at base we have shrunk the list of material open issues from what was actually a very large number as recently as even a few weeks ago to a very small number, whether it's, you know, two or three or four. I don't want to pick a number and then have someone else say it's a different number. But it's certainly not I think a material number of open issues.

On the other hand, and unfortunately, despite weeks of extraordinary sustained effort and engagement by many parties, we are not there yet. And as I have said more than once before, the passage of time while this money literally sits in a bank account is really intolerably painful I think to many people, certainly not just to the Debtors.

And so next week I think the plan is to have an in-person summit at Davis Polk with sort of the core parties who have been negotiating this and to attempt to kind of metaphorically lock everyone in a room and just hope to god that we get something done before people have to leave.

If not, then I'm not exactly sure what the way forward is. It may well be, although I'm not committing to it, that the Debtors actually file a motion and explain sort

of where we have gotten and what is left. It may be that a different pathway makes sense. But either way I think, unfortunately, or fortunately, the Debtors are near the end I think of their ability to bridge the gaps. And I think after next week, it will probably be no longer productive for us to try to do it unaided, and we'll probably need a different mechanic of some sort to get us over the line. Quite possibly, frankly, it may involve another court, which would not be unusual.

But again, that's not up for today, I just wanted to let the Court know so that I didn't get a question like where the heck is the ERF, like, how can this not be done yet. It is most assuredly not for lack of trying. And that's true of many parties, not only of the Debtors.

THE COURT: Okay. The only thing I'll say on that point is -- it's a cliché, but there's a reason why it's a cliché, because it's true. This is money that should be put to good use, because it really is an emergency relief fund. And people shouldn't let the perfect be the enemy of the good.

And I will note that if you do bring it to court,

I think it would be under Section 363(b), which has a pretty

broad standard that I am on record as saying I don't believe

under the Second Circuit caselaw of the statute is the same

as a corporate business judgement standard. It takes into

account the views of the other parties, but it reflects a policy that decisions just need to be made, and hopefully made in the best interest at the time. But the Second Circuit is on record in the Orion Pictures case as saying that the judge isn't perfect, and you just do the best you can.

So I would hope that the parties would see fit not to leave it up to me and decide themselves. But I guess if they can't, they won't. But I would hope that they would be able to reach some sort of agreement, recognizing that this is a one-time payment in one sense, but on the other hand, there's a lot of other value that the Debtors will be distributing in the future.

MR. HUEBNER: We agree, Your Honor. And again, everyone I think is engaged very intensely and in good faith. It's just that there are just complicated issues that remain ahead of us.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GRAULICH: Your Honor, I think those were the only three off-agenda letter topics I wanted to raise.

Obviously there are dozens and dozens of initiatives and work and progress being made, and I think we do try -- these are three more examples of it as far as today's docket which we'll discuss in a few minutes -- to work incredibly hard to build consensus wherever we can to avoid that nature of the

Court to resolve things and to keep the case moving along as best we know how.

With that, Your Honor, I would propose that we turn to the agenda itself. Mr. Graulich is not through security, and then I think we can turn to Item 1, which is the headquarters base.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GRAULICH: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. GRAULICH: For the record, Timothy Graulich of Davis Polk & Wardwell on behalf of the Debtors.

Your Honor, the first item on the agenda this morning relates to the Debtor's headquarters. And the motion is at Docket 796. Now, there are no -- we've received no filed objections to the motion, we've received no informal objections to the motion. But we have received a statement from the Creditor's Committee that raises three important issues. And I think because -- and we've responded in writing to those issues in ways that we submit are both sort of comprehensive and compelling as to the responses to those three questions. But just because they are important matters, I would just like to spend a few moments this morning describing the proposed transaction and then walking the Court through our thinking as to why we think this is the transaction that's the best interest of

the estate.

THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I've reviewed the pleadings and I understand that there is an agreed order, which I've gone through as well. To me it seemed that the choice was simply to use the next several months before the lease expires, and the other leases being interlocked with that effort to find new space at a somewhat lower rent during that period, or do you take the new lease with clear reservations of rights at a slightly hither rent, but for a locked-in period without the transition cost or the risk that you won't find new space.

MR. GRAULICH: Yeah. And, Your Honor, just with respect to the new space. So we did a pretty comprehensive effort to try and find alternative space. And it's going to come as no surprise that we're not a prize tenant in the view of m any landlords. And so that was not -- and through the help of a broker, that was not such an easy process. In fact, the only -- you know, sometimes you would get halfway through a process and the people would even stop returning your phone calls when they sort of realized who the tenant might be.

THE COURT: Well, and also the only -- it looked like the only available leases were for a longer term than anyone wanted.

MR. GRAULICH: Correct. A ten-year lease that,

frankly, the deposit associated with the ten-year lease was almost the amount equal to the entire rent of this three-year lease. And so that was -- and this is I think the important message that we want to be able to communicate, is that there was some concern by the Committee that this lease somehow reflected our -- you know, imposing our view of the future on the estates and that maybe we were sort of stealing a base and making decisions that would be properly the providence of the reorganized company. And not to belabor the point, but that's not at all what's happened here.

The alternatives were either a much longer term lease, which actually would have some consequence on the reorganized company, or to sort of roll the dice and potentially have no lease at the end of this year, which also would have -- which would potentially guide in a very negative way how this company may reorganize.

THE COURT: Well, it wouldn't be the end of this year, either. It would be in April, because that's when the statutory period to assume or reject expires.

MR. GRAULICH: That's correct, Your Honor. So the why now question is sort of twofold. Why? We have April 13th imposed by statute. The evidence submitted from Mr. Lowne is that it would take ten months to sort of go and transition to other space once we have actually found it.

But the existing lease, even if we wanted to just say let's let it ride, expires at the end of this year. And so even if we could get an extension, which we tried for and failed to be able to obtain under 364(d)(4), it doesn't get any better. In fact, our sort of microscopic leverage gets worse the longer we're on the clock.

THE COURT: Okay. I mean, again, the questions were perfectly legitimate questions to ask, as you ask with any decision that a debtor-in-possession has to make before the business plan has been fully vetted, et cetera. But I think -- I mean, and this appears to me to be a reasonable exercise (indiscernible).

MR. GRAULICH: Well, as a judge once told me, do you have anything to add before I rule in your favor. I'm going to take the very strong hint from Your Honor. I'm happy to walk through -- we filed the revised order last night on the docket at Docket 857. I was going to suggest to Your Honor that I could hand it up, but it sounds like you already have it.

THE COURT: No, I've been through it.

MR. GRAULICH: So unless you have any questions with respect to the revised order --

THE COURT: I only had one minor question, which is the Debtors have -- they're taking over space that is currently occupied by one of their subs or affiliated

Page 17 1 companies. 2 MR. GRAULICH: To be clear, it's actually -- it's 3 a non-debtor parent, but yes. THE COURT: Okay, affiliated company. 4 5 MR. GRAULICH: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Are they going -- do they know where 7 they're going? 8 MR. GRAULICH: They are -- I don't know the answer 9 My assumption is they're probably going to be someplace else in the building. Because right now we have a 10 11 somewhat complicated relationship with the space in the 12 sense that we have some leases, and some leases we've turned 13 around -- of the sublessor to third parties, and some were 14 the sublessor to related parties. In other ways with a 15 sublessee from third parties. This all gets cleaned up as 16 of January 1st, 2021, where it would be clear that we just 17 have the single lease. Our parent though is going to, as part of a consensual agreement, going to be moving out of 18 the space in July, and so it will be ready for us to take 19 20 over in January. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Does anyone have 22 anything further to say on this motion? 23 Okay, I'll grant the motion as revised. I've been 24 through the redline of the proposed order. And as I said, 25 ideally one wouldn't make any significant changes in your

rental footprint or your business footprint until a business plan is largely agreed and you're on the way to actually Chapter 11. But clearly that can't happen in a large business with real deadlines. So taking all of the factors into account, this appears to me to be a proper exercise in business judgement.

MR. GRAULICH: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, that brings us to the next item on the agenda -- apologizes for the 20-second delay -- which is the motion to shorten time with respect to the autoinjector motion. Your Honor, as we set forth in the notion, we actually worked well in advance of what actually would have been the original filing deadline. To the extent that we could with parties, we gave as much notice as we could. We sent people drafts of the motion several days before we filed it. Frankly -- and it was nonetheless I think still filed on 14 days' notice, which is acceptable for many other types of motions. I point that out only to show how hard we really are working on this and all topics where we can to bring people into the tent and not jam anybody.

The motion is unopposed, and so I don't think I need to belabor it. We ask that the motion to expedite be granted.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll grant the motion. all know, when I get motions to shorten notice, I make one of two decisions at that moment. Either it appears to me just a nonstarter to shorten notice, or it may well make sense, but only enough to schedule the hearing on the underlying relief at the same time as the hearing on the motion to shorten notice, and that at least gives parties some additional time to complain about shortened notice. And there are no complaints here, and I think that's consistent with the timetable for running out this initiative and the stages that it contemplate. MR. HUEBNER: Thank you, Your Honor. The second thing I'd like to do, now turning to the motion itself, is move for the admission of the declaration of Mr. John Lowne, who obviously is in the courtroom today. I don't intend to put him on the witness I don't think anyone has questions for him. There stand. are no actual objections. THE COURT: Okay. MR. HUEBNER: But we obviously do as always try to present an appropriate evidentiary record, even if a motion is not opposed. THE COURT: Right. I've reviewed that declaration. Does anyone want to question Mr. Lowne?

Okay, so I'll admit it as his direct testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. HUEBNER: Sure, Your Honor. So let me turn to the two statements and provide a little bit of what I think is important background.

So, Your Honor, we were contacted by both the UCC and the ad hoc committee of consenting states, but obviously we've talked to others as well. But in particular there was a fairly robust dialogue which we fully resected and actually welcomed about double-checking that we had ensured that like the conversation you just had about the lease with Mr. Graulich, that we were not actually moving to lock in some huge, weighty thing that reflected a vision of the exit or a post-emergence life of the company to which other creditors have not yet brought in, and which is not the subject, as Your Honor noted, of a business plan and a file of reorganization that we believe has requisite support.

The good news is that we've tried to do our jobs well. And as I think parties realize, we had actually already negotiated into the contract all the things that we believe that we needed to ensure that we were actually not locking anything sort of tectonic and level into the case at all. And Your Honor obviously has an unredacted version of the motion. Even the redacted version makes clear that the Debtors have a termination right at any time in their sole discretion on X days' notice for a termination fee of Y dollars. The X days' notice is a quite reasonable and

appropriate number of days. And the dollars that we negotiated, we and ultimately others got comfortable with.

So I do want to be clear that we are working very hard to walk the difficult tightrope of advancing many things that we believe are necessary and very much in the public good while at the same time fully -- not just slightly -- acknowledging that at the end of the day, you know, a plan of reorganization will need to be supported by a very substantial majority of creditors for end-stage issues and permanent continued work.

The dollars to be spent under this contract in 2020 in particular compared to the Debtor's \$1.369 billion cash balance announced in yesterday's monthly operating report -- that includes both restricted and unrestricted.

And I think unrestricted is maybe \$1.190 billion as opposed to in the monthly operating report. Suffice it to say that it is a relatively modest amount for something that we believe is extremely critical.

What the Creditor's Committee and the Ad Hoc

Committee of Supporting States asked us for was they said,
you know, okay, we're not going to object, we get it. But
we want monthly reporting, we want to see what's happening
with this product, we want to see what's happening with the
spend, et cetera. To which we said of course. Didn't have
to ask. I mean, we interact with our sort of key

stakeholders and financial advisors pretty much every day anyway, so it was not a big deal at all.

We actually added ourselves to their request that we want to meet with you quarterly about all of this.

Because in fact a lot of this is ultimately pharmacological and pharmacokinetic and regulatory-based. And it's not just about how many dollars did you spend that an FA looks at and says are you above of, you know, behind budget.

The question is more fundamental, which is, does the world still need this product? Is it still racing ahead in a way that we think makes sense? You know, what is the ultimate potential disposition of the product? And obviously the contract also provides for ways that the product and the contract can be transferred to others.

Again, keeping optionality open for any number of end-stage possibilities, which is what we did.

So if you look at the proposed revised order that we filed late last night, you will see the addition. It's a provision that was easy for us to give that essentially gives information as requested, our suggestion of quarterly meetings, and such other information as I think the parties shall reasonably request, which we were fine with.

The Committee filed a statement on the docket which, candidly, we just have no problem at all with. We mostly agree with it. I mean, I'm not going to countersign

it, but the fundamental gestalt of the pleading of for the avoidance of doubt because of the exit ramps and because of the continuous reevaluation and because at the end of the day this does not put not only a thumb, but a hand on the scale for really anything about one way or the other. Right now is a relatively low-cost option to develop a potential life-saving drug. But we'll see where we are in March and April and May and June. Totally agree.

I mean, as Your Honor I hope noted, no changes to the contract were required or demanded by anyone because they actually saw that we did our job just fine because actually we get it also. And so we're happy to provide that cooperation.

I need to apologize to Mr. Troop. He asked me this before the hearing, if the dissenting states could have the same package as well. I just didn't have the time to talk to the clients. We'll talk about that during a brief break and we'll figure it out, but we are sort of find with that.

Unfortunately, the pleading of the dissenting states is substantially more complicated. And I think that because it states kind of medical facts and historical facts in it that are highly relevant to the product, I need to spend just a few minutes explaining to the Court our view on some of the things that we just find maybe confusing and

very unfortunate would be the best words to use.

quote, speculative product. Speculative use of produced resources I think is that quote. So, Your Honor, I do want to be clear -- and I brought lots of things. I probably won't offer to him most of them up unless the Court wants. But the FDA is actually the regulatory agency charged with deciding when and how products should be pursued. And under Section 506(b) of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetics Act, the FDA only designates a drug as a fast-track product if, and I quote, "It is intended, whether alone or on combination with one or more other drugs for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening disease or condition and it demonstrates the potential to address unmet medical needs for such a disease or condition."

So when earlier in 2019 the FDA granted fast-track status to our autoinjector nalmefene product, that was actually a very big deal, because it's the Agency's view that this should proceed. The FDA also granted competitive generic treatment to our two other nalmefene initiatives. There is a pre-filled syringe and then there's an actual little glass ampule that you draw from.

This doesn't mean we know it's going to work.

Obviously it's a product in development. But the question is, is it worth pursuing. And fast-track designation, while

I certainly don't want to overstate it, is not irrelevant to the equation of the people whose job it actually is to figure out whether a drug company should be pursuing a medication.

Second, they say, and I quote, "Although nalmefene itself has yet to be determined safe and effective and may not ultimately prove a successful reversal drug." This statement is flatly false. It is patently and flatly false. In 1995 the FDA approved Nalmefene as a safe and effective product for the specific, among others, of the reversal of opioid overdose. Period. The statement is just false.

In 2008, because I'm assuming fentanyl and carfentanil fortunately were not yet on the scene killing people, the company decided that there was no commercial market for their product, and they withdrew. IT was largely used in operating rooms at the time. I think if there was sort of like a little too much opioid, it was used for that. And there just wasn't a market for it.

In 2017, because someone asked them, the FDA recertified -- or I'm not sure what the right word is, but issued a formal statement that the withdrawal of the drug from the market was not for safety or efficacy reasons at all and that they stood ready to approve generics and other medications based on the formulation. So the statement that it's not safe and effective is false, and that it may not

prove successful as a reversal drug is false. That's just what the FDA tells you. And again, I have it all right here. So if anybody wants to see it and the Court, I'm happy to hand it up.

And then they go on to say, to make it worse, at least -- and this is the same sentence. I'm just continuing reading. "At least two other companies in the United States are developing Nalmefene-based treatments, and Nalmefene-based products are already on the market in Europe." I mean, I guess the best you can say is that statement is facially true. But now let's talk about it. And in one of them you sort of have to read the footnote.

So there are in fact two companies in the U.S. developing Nalmefene-based treatments. One of them is a 30-day shot that is used for opioid addiction therapy. It has nothing to do with emergency rescue, it has nothing to do with opioid overdose. It is an extended release emergency -- sorry, non-emergency -- an extended release addiction therapy drug.

The product in Europe -- first of all, I'm not really sure the relevance in fact that some Nalmefene product is approved in a different country. But what they actually don't tell you is that it's actually a high dose oral pill that you take before ingesting alcohol for alcohol use disorder. It has nothing in the world to do with opioid

overdose rescue.

So to say kind of, you know, not only is this product totally questionable, but three other companies are working on it. We've now addressed two of those companies.

Now let's talk bout the third. So they are right, there is one company in the United States that is actually working on nalmefene as a potential rescue drug besides

Purdue. One other company that we know of. That company is

Opiant. So as I said before, the 1995 approval of nalmefene

by the FDA approved it as an injection either

intramuscularly or by IV. It did not approve it for any

other delivery methodologies. What Opiant is actually

working on is a 3 milligram nasal spray, but I'm obviously

not going to get into the science. I'm just going to hand

you Opiant's 8-K from January 2019 where the FDA told them

to stop their study. And they had to issue an 8-K so

telling.

So we obviously desperately hope that Opiant's product gets back to review under whatever the FDA comment letter is that told them to stop. Because unlike Purdue, which is only working on injectable forms that match the 1995 FDA approval for safe and effective and indicated for opioid overdoes, obviously we would love there to be multiple products on the market that have the ability to help save lives, and we hope that the one other product that

is currently under development using a new delivery methodology that has never been approved by the FDA that was in the middle of being tested, before that test shuts down, ultimately proves potentially valuable.

So, you know, again, we're all here trying to do the best we can to address the terrible opioid crisis. But the notion that injectable nalmefene -- and again, Your Honor, the Lowne Declaration -- and I'm not going to belabor it because it's obviously unopposed and I know Your Honor read everything -- is very clear as to why Nalmefene has the potential to be such an unbelievably critical drug, because the half-life of Narcan, which is the brand name for naloxone, which is the current nasal approved overdose drug, is simply nowhere near long enough to deal with carfentanil or fentanyl or other fentanyl. So what happens is, you know, someone will, god forbid, overdose. And then you insert an opioid antagonist which will reverse their respiratory depression/rest. But if they're still loaded with fentanyl, then the fentanyl extends past the life of the Narcan, and they go right back into respiratory arrest. And if you don't have a second dose of Narcan -- and, you know, how many people have two, let alone one, the fentanyl simply overwhelms the Narcan, and they die. They can get up and walk away and seem fine, and just drop an hour later.

So a drug that is way more powerful with an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

extended half-life -- and the whole point of the autoinjector -- again, to sort of talk about it for a second. You know, many types of delivery systems simply cannot be used by non-trained medical personnel. If I handed most people in this courtroom a syringe and a glass ampule and said please administer this if you see someone overdosing, that's obviously a nonstarter. It's also unlawful. Right? And the same is even true with a prefilled syringe which has largely in-hospital and ambulance applications, not necessarily sort of regular citizen applications. The autoinjector, like an EpiPen, is literally potentially usable by anybody. You just slam it into the thigh. The short, high-bore needle shoots out. It injects the dose. There's no blood, there's no cleanup, there's no alcohol swabs.

And so if it were to be the case -- and Purdue is also working, by the way -- in other words, it's not also right to quote anyone else could do this. In fact, no one else is doing it. Purdue is actually working to radically improve the delivery time and dosage of Nalmefene. That's the magi that people are desperately trying to get to, which is super high dose, immediate acting, long half-life antidote strong enough to overcome fentanyl.

So, again, the good news is it's uncontested. But because there were a variety of things stated in here that

are just simply not correct, and because they sort of suggest that Purdue is on a frolic that it shouldn't be doing and other people are doing and should be doing instead. And because it's just so wrong on the facts -- and actually if you read their footnotes slowly and carefully, you'll actually kind of see that. Because they actually in fact admit that one of them is the sustained release for the treatment of addiction, which obviously is not what we're working on. We're working on emergency medications for reversal.

The last thing, Your Honor, which we just sort of continue to find upsetting and mystifying, candidly, is something that in a pleading that's only three pages long they say sort of two or three times, which is Purdue should not be working on things like this. You know, essentially because it did, in our view, grievous wrong in the past, it should not be allowed to do right in the future. And that's just not a vision we share. And, you know, it's sort of chillingly reminiscent to me of maybe the only time I've ever seen Your Honor just fully and visibly upset, at the injunction hearing, when there were flavors of we'll just wait out the injunction and then come back and get back to sort of the maelstrom that we allege would destroy all the value here.

So, Your Honor, here's what I would say. Our

vision, which I articulate at almost every hearing, is very simple and very straightforward. To try to look as little as we can into the past and instead to focus on maximizing the value of these companies and dedicating one hundred percent of that value to ameliorating, addressing, bating, and compensating for the opioid crisis. We are working on a medication that we believe has the ability to be incredibly important. And if we learn in April or March or May or June or July that has ceased to be the case, we will shut it down and instead preserve the money for whatever other initiatives we and our creditors think makes sense. people to say that inherently we shouldn't be trying to aims towards that north star, which I believe by the way is what is actually required by Title 11, Chapter 11 of the United States Code, which is to Maximize the value of the estate for the parties in interest. You know, that I think is very difficult for us to swallow.

So at the end of the day, I apologize. It may seem really strange to bring eight exhibits for an uncontested motion, but the statement was much more than that, candidly. And again, it's to some extent a question of just seriously conflicting visions that keeps coming up. We are trying to save lives and do good, and we know everyone is on that same endeavor. We believe that proceeding with a low-cost option on this product while

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Pq 32 of 57 Page 32 letting our creditors continually work with us to reassess the propriety of continuing, or pulling quite an expensive ripcord and doing something else instead, is without any question the appropriate way to proceed. THE COURT: Okay. Well, there are no real issues for me to determine today, right? MR. TROOP: Do you want to hear from me, Your Honor? THE COURT: I don't think so. I don't think I I think basically Mr. Huebner was responding to some statements in a pleading that Debtors disagree with. I'll make one note, which is obviously the FDIC -the FDIC... MR. HUEBNER: That's (indiscernible), Your Honor. THE COURT: Yeah, I know. A different agency. The FDA is charged with protecting the public with respect to the development of new drugs. That being said, there have been allegations made that, at least in the past, these Debtors misled the FDA and others about the drugs. Does that mean the FDA is absolved of not doing its job or people relying on the FDA? That's not really what I'm trying to get into. The point I'm trying to say is that one of the

reasons I thought it was advisable to have a monitor is that

you have someone who literally has no history whatsoever

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with these debtors, is entirely neutral, and whose judgment is well-respected. And if that person believes that the debtors are going down a path where they're pulling the wool over the FDA's eyes, he should stop it. And people have the ability to reach out to him and say, you know, have you thought of X, Y, or Z as part of that process.

So I think, frankly, history does matter here, although the history hasn't really been written on this company. And the whole purpose of this bankruptcy is to leave the history for the future. I hope that didn't sound like Yogi Berra, but it will be written at some point. But in the meantime, as everyone recognizes, the value in this company should be put to good use.

And so I think the motion, again, with the close attention paid to it by the key ad hoc committees as well as the Creditor's Committee not only to the motion itself, but to the runout of this program added to it, is a proper exercise of business judgement, both in terms of dollars and cents and the ability to pull the plug if that use doesn't make sense, and also recognizing the unique nature of this business, which is that the Debtors do have the ability, subject to proper review and monitoring, starting with the FDA, but including other -- you know, including a monitor, including parties in the case, to provide value for their constituents that is not just a dollar, but hopefully, or at

least one hopes, you know, orders of magnitude on a dollar through the at cost or slightly above cost delivery of drugs that can treat opioid -- either opioid overdoses or addiction.

So I think the Committee asked the right questions. The Debtors are asking them also. The Committee laid out three scenarios where this clearly makes sense, recognizing that there may be scenarios where it doesn't make sense. And all things considered, again, focusing on the Second Circuit precedent, the judge can only do what he or she can. You can't determine the future; you can just predict it. But based on the record before me and the status of this case, this makes I think good business sense to pursue.

MR. HUEBNER: Thank you, Your Honor. And during that moment I was able to confirm with Mr. Kesselman that we are happy to include the dissenting states as well in the quarterly meetings and provision of information. Again, the goal is to have everybody get ever more comfortable that we're doing only the right things and not things that don't make sense. And I think there's no -- despite the way we got to this hearing, which is not how I would have had it, there's no reason not to proceed as productively as we can once again.

Your Honor, that I think brings us to -- Mr.

Page 35 1 Price, did you want to say anything or not? Okay. 2 Honor, I think that's --3 THE COURT: So you're going to revise the order. MR. HUEBNER: Yeah, we'll revise the order, just 4 5 add --6 THE COURT: Often when I combine the hearing on a 7 motion to shorten with the ultimate relief, I just deal with 8 both motions and the one order. So you might want to mark 9 up the order to reflect that. 10 MR. HUEBNER: Oh, okay. I think we had submitted 11 two separate orders already. But if the Court's preference 12 is --13 THE COURT: Did you -- I didn't see the second 14 one. 15 MR. HUEBNER: We'll merge them, absolutely. 16 that brings us to the last item on the agenda, which I think 17 is truly, truly uncontested, which is the KPMG retention 18 where he'll also -- we're just working hard to save the 19 estate's money and proceed efficiently and do things that 20 are frankly unusual in terms of sharing advisor and 21 potentially letting others have information. Again, I don't 22 want the fundamental ethos of how we keep trying to proceed 23 on issue after issue, which is very consensus-driven, very speed-driven, very cost-effective driven, and frankly, 24 25 hopefully very saving-lives-driven to be lost in --

Page 36 1 THE COURT: And by the way, when you say we, I 2 think you're including not just the Debtors, but the --3 MR. HUEBNER: Of course. THE COURT: -- official committee and the other 4 5 committees, too. 6 MR. HUEBNER: Yeah. 7 THE COURT: Okay. MR. HUEBNER: I all but served as the official 8 committee's press agent on their filing. I hope that I did 9 10 not misdescribe it in any way. And certainly, as I said, 11 when I say we, that's literally what I meant, since in this 12 case it is a joint retention application --13 THE COURT: Right. 14 MR. HUEBNER: -- with other parties also 15 participating in an agreed form in order to save the estate 16 money. So with that, let me turn the podium over to Mr. 17 Robertson. MR. ROBERTSON: Good morning, Your Honor. For the 18 19 record, Chris Robison of Davis Polk & Wardwell on behalf of the Purdue debtors. 20 21 The fourth and final item on today's agenda is the 22 Debtor and the Committee's joint retention of KPMG as tax 23 consultants. This is Docket Number 815. 24 The Debtors and the Committee have determined that 25 they require the services of tax consultants in order to

Page 37

evaluate the tax implications of various potential restructuring alternatives with respect to any disposition of the assets or the stock of company's own or control by shareholders of the Debtors, which is what we call the IACs in connection with these Chapter 11 proceedings. In order to control costs, the Debtor and the Committee propose to jointly retain KPMG for this role. The matter is uncontested. We've received informal comments from the United States Trustee, in response to which we and KPMG and the Committee have agreed to modify Paragraph 7 of the proposed order. That's on Page 4. This is the paragraph modifying the indemnification provisions in the engagement letter. THE COURT: Right. MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe Mr. Masumoto and Mr. Schwartzberg are on the phone as well. THE COURT: That's -- is there a provision beyond the redline that I got, or is that reflecting the comments? MR. ROBERTSON: Your Honor, I don't believe we filed a redline of the proposed order. THE COURT: No, I have a --

MR. ROBERTSON: And this is the change to

Paragraph 7. It just -- it's literally just conforming the

language to the language that the U.S. Trustee's office for

Region 2 prefers in this paragraph. There's no other

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 38 1 changes. 2 THE COURT: Okay, fine. All right. I mean, I 3 actually was very impressed by the indemnification language 4 in the proposed order. I thought it was beyond what is 5 normally in. So I would hope it -- frankly, I would like to 6 use it as a new template. So I hope that how you changed it 7 doesn't dilute that. 8 MR. ROBERTSON: No, Your Honor. Full disclosure, 9 I believe the language that was in the proposed order is the 10 Delaware standard. 11 THE COURT: Okay, fine. There you go. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: So unless Your Honor has any 13 questions for --14 I'm sorry. What I want to make sure THE COURT: is that the change you're referring to doesn't dilute what's 15 16 in... 17 MR. ROBERTSON: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. ROBERTSON: And again, I'm happy to hand up a 20 blackline just so we're on the same page. 21 THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll just look at it 22 That's fine. I understand the reasons and compare the two. for the changes from the agreement. 23 24 MR. ROBERTSON: That's the only change, Your 25 Unless Your Honor has any other questions, we Honor.

Page 39

respectfully request that the relief be approved.

THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone have anything to say on the application? All right. I'll grant the application. The proposed order appropriately deals with the indemnification and cap on liability, those types of provisions that we routinely modify in retention orders. So you can email the final version of that order to chambers.

MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, Your Honor. And I believe that concludes today's agenda.

THE COURT: Okay. Can I say one more thing?

Totally different topic than what we've been talking about,
but I think it's important. And it's prompted in part by my
review of the recent circuit opinion and the briefing for it
where I was actually affirmed, but it was troubling,
particularly in the briefing.

If this case is going to achieve what I think the parties hope it can achieve, the issue of third party releases and exculpations will be front and center. And I appreciate that we're not there yet. But I am concerned that in other cases going to the circuit, parties in interest, including the Federal Government, including the U.S. Attorney, are asserting that the Bankruptcy Court does not have the power to approve a third party release under the caselaw, which says those releases are hard to obtain, but does not have the power to issue a third party release

Page 40

as part of its core jurisdiction in confirming a Chapter 11 plan. I think that is a huge error of law and a huge mistake to assert. And you all who represent debtors should be mindful of this. Among other things, I think the caselaw is being miscited, the Second Circuit's own caselaw. And, frankly -- you mentioned Delaware earlier -- the Third Circuit got it entirely right in the Millennium case. We just need to be aware of this.

And I would strongly urge -- I don't know if
there's anybody here from the U.S. Attorney's office. But
before they take this position again, a focus on cases like
this where the only way to get true peace, if the parties
are prepared to support it and not fight it in a meaningful
way, is to have a third party release as part of
confirmation of a plan with all of confirmation's
protections. And, you know, just be mindful that these
issues are bubbling up in other cases, such as the
(indiscernible) case that just came down where the U.S.
Attorney's office took that position notwithstanding that
every release that I'm aware of in the Southern District
carves them out.

MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, to give the Court comfort, I think suffice it to say that Davis Polk is actually tracking virtually with an electron microscope all cases that come out of this area. We're not unaware --

Page 41 1 THE COURT: You may need to do more than track. 2 You may need to file an amicus to counteract some of the --3 well, I'm just leaving at that, to counteract the --MR. HUEBNER: I don't know if the world wants a 4 5 Purdue Pharma amicus, but we'll have to take that one under 6 advisement. Maybe we'll leave history to the future. 7 THE COURT: Maybe those firms that routinely practice in this area should realize that the law can really 8 9 be -- there's a chance at least that the law can be 10 perverted here. I'm not saying the Second Circuit is going 11 to buy the arguments. But if the only people making them 12 are making them on the other side, sometimes it's easy to 13 make a mistake. 14 MR. HUEBNER: Yeah. Understood, Your Honor. And 15 thank you for the guidance. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 17 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 10:52 AM) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

	Pg 42 of 57			_
			Page 42	
1	INDEX			
2				
3	RULINGS			
4		Page	Line	
5				
6	Headquarters Motion Granted	17	23	
7	Motion to Shorten Time Granted	19	1	
8	KPMG Retention Motion Granted	39	3	
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Page 43 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Digitally signed by Sonya Landanski Sonya 6 DN: cn=Sonya Landanski Hyde, o, ou, email=digital1@veritext.com, c=US Date: 2020.02.25 11:40:15 -05'00' 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 Date: February 24, 2020

[& - apologize] Page 1

	240 1 12	4 42 4	1 14 2 10 2
&	248 1:13	accurate 43:4	agreed 14:3 18:2
& 3:3,13 4:1,17	2nd 8:20 9:3	achieve 39:16,17	36:15 37:10
7:5 13:11 36:19	3	acknowledging	agreement 2:12
1	3 27:13 42:8	21:7	2:16 12:10 17:18
1 13:5 42:7	30 26:14	act 24:9	38:23
1.190 21:15	300 1:13 43:22	acting 29:22 actual 19:18	agriculture 7:22 ahc 4:11
1.369 21:12	31 3:22	24:21	ahead 12:17 22:10
10017 4:4	330 43:21	ad 3:21 20:5	aims 31:12
10019 3:23	363 11:22	21:19 33:15	akin 3:3
10022 4:20	364 16:4	add 16:14 35:5	akii 3.3 al 7:3
10036 3:6	39 42:8	added 22:3 33:17	alcohol 26:24,24
10169 4:13	4	addiction 26:15	29:15
10601 1:14	4 16:4 37:11	26:18 30:8 34:4	allegations 32:18
10:01 1:17	450 4:3	addition 22:18	allege 30:23
10:52 41:18	5	additional 19:8	allocation 8:17
11 18:3 31:14,14	506 24:9	address 24:14	allowed 30:17
37:5 40:1		28:6	alternative 14:14
1100 3:15	52nd 3:22	addressed 27:4	alternatives 15:12
11501 43:23	7	addressing 31:5	37:2
13th 15:23	7 37:10,23	administer 29:6	ambulance 29:9
14 18:18	796 2:8 13:14	admission 19:14	ameliorating 31:5
17 42:6	8	admit 19:25 30:7	amicus 41:2,5
19 42:7	8 27:15,16	advance 18:13	amount 9:1 15:2
19-23649 1:3	815 2:23 36:23	advancing 21:4	21:17
1995 25:9 27:9,22	824 2:13	advisable 32:24	ampule 24:22
1st 17:16	825 2:13	advisement 41:6	29:6
2	826 2:13	advisor 35:20	andrea 5:15
2 37:25	857 16:17	advisors 22:1	andrew 3:25
20 18:10	9	affiliated 7:6	anna 5:19
20005 3:16	919 4:19	16:25 17:4	announce 7:17
2008 25:12		affirmed 39:14	8:11
2017 25:19	a	afternoon 8:12	announced 21:13
2019 2:22 24:16	ability 11:4 27:24	agency 24:7 32:15	announcement
27:15	31:7 33:5,19,21	agency's 24:18	8:6
2020 1:16 2:1	able 12:10 15:4	agenda 2:1,1 7:14	answer 17:8
21:12 43:25	16:4 34:16	12:20 13:4,12	antagonist 28:17
2021 17:16	absolutely 35:15	18:10 35:16 36:21	antidote 29:23
21 1:16 2:1	absolved 32:20	39:9	anybody 18:22
23 2:22 42:6	acceptable 18:18	agent 36:9	26:3 29:12 40:10
230 4:12	account 10:15	ago 10:6	anyway 22:2
24 43:25	12:1 18:5	agree 12:14 22:25	apologize 23:14
		23:8	31:18
		ral Solutions	

apologizes 18:10	attorney 39:22	belief 9:18	business 11:25
appears 16:11	attorney's 40:10	believe 10:4 11:23	16:10 18:1,1,4,6
18:5 19:3	40:19	20:15,19 21:5,18	20:14 33:18,21
application 2:18	attorneys 3:4,14	31:7,13,24 37:15	34:13
2:19 36:12 39:3,4	3:21 4:2,18	37:19 38:9 39:9	buy 41:11
applications	authorization	believes 33:2	
29:10,11	2:12,15	berra 33:11	c
appointment 8:7	authorize 2:15	best 12:3,5 13:2	c 3:1 5:13 7:1 43:1
appreciate 39:19	authorizing 2:4,5	13:25 24:1 26:10	43:1
appropriate 9:17	2:6,19	28:6	cajoler 9:22
10:2 19:21 21:1	·	better 16:5	call 37:4
32:4	autoinjector 18:12 24:17 29:2		calls 9:19 14:20
	29:11	beyond 8:3 37:17 38:4	candidate 7:25
appropriately 39:4			candidly 22:24
	available 14:23	big 22:2 24:18	30:12 31:21
approval 27:9,22	avenue 4:3,12,19	billion 21:12,15	cap 39:5
approve 25:23	avoid 12:25	bit 9:7 20:2	caplin 3:13
27:11 39:23	avoidance 23:2	blackline 38:20	carefully 30:5
approved 25:9	aware 40:8,20	blood 29:14	carfentanil 25:13
26:22 27:10 28:2	b	bore 29:13	28:14
28:13 39:1	b 1:21 5:11 11:22	bout 27:5	caroline 5:9
april 15:19,22	24:9	brand 28:12	carves 40:21
23:8 31:8	back 27:19 28:20	brauner 3:8	case 1:3 7:12 8:16
area 40:25 41:8	30:22,22	break 23:18	12:4 13:1 20:20
arguments 41:11	background 20:3	brett 5:22	29:16 31:9 33:24
arik 3:9	balance 21:13	brian 5:18	34:13 36:12 39:16
arrest 28:20	ball 4:22	bridge 11:4	40:7,18
artem 6:4	bank 10:15	brief 23:17	caselaw 11:24
articulate 31:1	bankruptcy 1:1	briefing 39:13,15	39:24 40:4,5
asked 21:20 23:14	1:12,23 33:9	bring 11:21 18:21	cases 8:17 39:20
25:19 34:5	39:22	31:19	40:11,17,25
asking 34:6	base 10:4 13:6	brings 18:9 34:25	cash 21:13
assert 40:3	15:8	35:16	catrina 6:3
asserting 39:22	based 22:6 25:24	broad 11:23	ceased 31:9
assets 37:3	26:8,9,14 34:12	broker 14:17	center 39:18
associated 15:1	basically 32:10	brought 8:2 20:13	cents 33:19
assume 15:20	basis 9:21	24:5	certainly 10:9,16
assuming 25:12	basis 9.21 bating 31:5	bryant 3:5	25:1 36:10
assumption 2:6	beacon 4:18	bubbling 40:17	certified 43:3
17:9	behalf 2:22 7:6	budget 22:8	cetera 16:10
assuredly 11:13	13:11 36:19	build 12:25	21:24
attempt 10:20	belabor 15:10	building 17:10	chambers 39:7
attention 33:15	18:24 28:8	burkart 5:3	chance 41:9
	10.27 20.0		CHAILE 41.7
		ral Solutions	

ahanga 27.22	20mmc=4 0.11	andition 24:12	appropriate 20.0
change 37:22 38:15,24	comment 9:11 27:19	condition 24:13 24:15	conversation 20:9 cooperation 23:13
/		confirm 34:16	core 10:19 40:1
changed 38:6	comments 37:8,18		
changes 17:25	commercial 2:5,7	confirmation	corporate 11:25 correct 14:25
23:9 38:1,23	25:14 committee 2:21	40:15	15:21 30:1
chapter 18:3 31:14 37:5 40:1	13:17 15:5 20:5	confirmation's 40:15	
			cosmetics 24:9
charged 24:7 32:16	21:19,20 22:23 33:16 34:5,6 36:4	confirming 40:1	cost 14:10 23:6
	36:24 37:7,10	conflicting 31:22 conforming 37:23	31:25 34:2,2 35:24
checking 20:8 chief 9:23	committee's 36:9	confusing 23:25	costs 37:6
chillingly 30:19	36:22	connection 37:5	counsel 4:11
choice 7:25 14:5	committees 33:15	consensual 17:18	counteract 41:2,3
chris 36:19	36:5	consensus 12:25	countersign 22:25
circle 3:15	committing 10:24	35:23	country 26:22
circuit 11:24 12:4	communicate	consenting 3:21	43:21
34:10 39:13,20	15:4	20:5	course 9:10 21:24
40:7 41:10	companies 17:1	consequence	36:3
circuit's 40:5	26:7,13 27:3,4	15:13	court 1:1,12 7:2
citizen 29:10	31:4	considered 34:9	7:15 8:9,14 9:4,15
claimants 8:19	company 4:18	consistent 19:10	9:18 11:8,11,15
cleaned 17:15	15:9,14,17 17:4	constituents	11:21 12:18 13:1
cleanup 29:14	20:12 25:3,14	33:25	13:7,9,24 14:2,22
clear 14:8 17:2,16	27:6,8,8 33:9,13	consultants 2:18	15:18 16:7,20,23
20:22 21:3 24:5	company's 37:3	2:20 36:23,25	17:4,6,21 18:8
28:10	compare 38:22	consultation 8:5	19:1,19,23 23:24
clearly 18:3 34:7	compared 21:12	contacted 20:4	24:6 26:3 32:5,9
cliché 11:16,17	compelling 13:20	contemplate	32:15 35:3,6,13
clients 23:17	compensating	19:11	36:1,4,7,13 37:14
clock 16:6	31:6	contemplated	37:17,21 38:2,11
close 33:14	competitive 24:19	7:19	38:14,18,21 39:2
cloyd 5:4	complain 19:8	continually 32:1	39:10,22 40:22
code 31:15	complaints 19:9	continue 7:7	41:1,7,16
combination	compliance 7:19	30:12	court's 35:11
24:11	complicated 9:7,8	continued 21:10	courtroom 19:16
combine 35:6	12:16 17:11 23:21	continuing 26:6	29:5
come 14:15 30:22	comprehensive	32:2	creadore 5:5
40:25	13:20 14:13	continuous 23:3	creditor 8:1
comfort 40:23	concern 15:5	contract 20:18	creditor's 13:17
comfortable 21:2	concerned 39:19	21:11 22:13,14	21:19 33:16
34:19	concluded 41:17	23:10	creditors 2:21
coming 31:22	concludes 39:9	control 37:3,6	20:13 21:9 31:11
			32:1
		10.17	

crisis 28:6 31:6	40:3	dialogue 20:7	drain 1:22
critical 8:14 21:18	december 2:22	dice 15:14	draw 24:22
28:11	decide 12:8	die 28:23	driven 35:23,24
crockett 5:6	decided 25:14	different 10:9	35:24,25
current 28:13	deciding 24:8	11:2,7 26:22	drop 28:24
currently 16:25	decision 16:9	32:15 39:11	drug 23:7 24:9,10
28:1	decisions 12:2	difficult 21:4	25:3,7,21 26:1,19
cyganowski 4:15	15:8 19:3	31:17	27:7 28:11,13,25
d	declaration 19:15	dilute 38:7,15	drugs 24:12 32:17
	19:24 28:8	direct 19:25	32:19 34:2
d 1:22 7:1 16:4	dedicating 31:4	disagree 32:11	drysdale 3:13
42:1	definitely 9:12	disclosure 38:8	due 7:13
daily 9:20	delaware 38:10	discretion 20:24	e
daly 5:7	40:6	discuss 12:24	_
daniel 6:1	delay 18:11	discussed 7:25	e 1:21,21 3:1,1 5:5
date 8:21 43:25	delighted 7:17 8:5	discussing 8:15	7:1,1 42:1 43:1
davis 4:17:5	8:11	disease 24:13,15	earlier 24:16 40:6
10:19 13:11 36:19	delivery 27:12	disorder 26:25	easy 14:17 22:19
40:23	28:1 29:3,20 34:2	disposition 22:12	41:12
day 7:12 8:23	demanded 23:10	37:2	ecf 2:8,23
21:7 22:1 23:4	demonstrates	dissenting 23:15	ecro 1:25
26:15 31:18	24:13	23:20 34:17	edan 3:11 5:17
days 8:16 18:16	deposit 15:1	distributing 12:13	effective 25:6,9,25
18:18 20:24,25	depression 28:18	district 1:2 40:20	27:22 35:24
21:1	describing 13:23	docket 12:23	efficacy 25:22
dc 3:16	designates 24:10	13:14 16:17,17	efficiently 35:19
deadline 18:14	designation 24:25	22:23 36:23	effort 10:12 14:7
deadlines 18:4	desperately 9:11	document 2:13	14:14
deal 22:2 24:18	27:18 29:21	doing 29:19 30:3	efr 9:25
28:14 35:7	despite 10:11	30:3,3 32:3,20	eight 7:22 31:19
deals 39:4	34:21	34:20	either 11:2 15:12
debevoise 4:17	destroy 30:23	dollar 33:25 34:1	15:19 19:3 27:10
debtor 1:9 16:9	determine 32:6	dollars 20:25 21:1	34:3
17:3 36:22 37:6	34:11	21:11 22:7 33:18	electron 40:24
debtor's 7:20	determined 25:6	donald 5:5	eli 2:22
13:13 21:12	36:24	dosage 29:20	ellis 5:8
debtors 2:4,10,11	develop 23:6	dose 26:23 28:21	email 39:7
2:15,20 4:2 7:6,17	developing 26:8	29:14,22	emails 9:19
8:2,12 9:21 10:17	26:14	double 20:8	emergence 20:12
10:25 11:3,14	development 2:12	doubt 23:2	emergency 11:18
12:12 13:11 16:24	2:16 24:24 28:1	doubt 25:2 dozens 12:21,21	26:16,17,18 30:9
20:23 32:11,19	32:17	drafts 9:20 18:16	employ 2:18
33:1,3,21 34:6	32.1/	urants 9.20 18:10	employment 2:19
36:2,20,24 37:4			
		ral Calutions	

[endeavor - go] Page 5

endeavor 31:24	expedite 18:24	fda's 33:4	form 36:15
enemy 11:19	expensive 32:2	fdic 32:12,13	formal 8:6 25:21
engaged 12:15	expires 14:6 15:20	february 1:16 2:1	forms 27:21
engagement 10:12	16:2	43:25	formulation 25:24
37:13	explain 10:25	federal 39:21	forth 18:12
ensure 7:19 20:19	explaining 23:24	fee 20:24	fortunately 11:3
ensured 20:8	extended 26:17,18	feeling 8:25	25:13
enter 2:12,16	29:1	feinberg 8:13	forward 10:24
entire 15:2	extends 28:19	feld 3:3	found 15:25
entirely 33:1 40:7	extension 16:3	fentanyl 25:12	four 10:7
entities 3:14	extension 10.3	28:15,15,19,19,22	fourth 36:21
entry 2:4,5,10	31:21	29:23	framework 9:2
epipen 29:11	extra 8:21	fight 40:13	frankly 11:8 15:1
equal 15:2	extraordinary	figure 23:18 25:3	18:17 33:7 35:20
equation 25:2	10:12	file 9:12 10:25	35:24 38:5 40:6
equation 25.2 erf 9:9 11:12	extremely 21:18	20:14 41:2	frolic 30:2
eric 5:10	eyes 33:4	filed 2:22 8:12	front 39:18
error 40:2		13:15 16:16 18:17	full 38:8
essentially 22:19	f	18:18 22:18,23	fully 16:10 20:7
30:15	f 1:21 5:9 43:1	37:20	21:6 30:20
estate 14:1 31:15	fa 22:7	filing 18:14 36:9	fund 11:18
36:15	facially 26:11	filled 24:21 29:9	fundamental 22:9
estate's 35:19	facilitator 9:22	final 36:21 39:7	23:1 35:22
estates 15:7	fact 14:18 16:5	financial 22:1	further 17:22
et 7:3 16:10 21:24	22:5 26:13,21	find 14:7,11,14	future 12:13 15:7
et 7.3 10.10 21.24 ethos 35:22	29:18 30:7	23:18,25 30:12	30:17 33:10 34:11
europe 26:9,20	factors 18:4	fine 22:22 23:11	41:6
evaluate 37:1	facts 23:22,22	28:24 38:2,11,22	
evaluate 37.1 everybody 34:19	30:4	firms 41:7	g
everybody 54.19 evidence 15:23	failed 16:3	first 7:12 13:12	g 7:1
	fair 9:21	26:20	gange 5:9
evidentiary 19:21 ex 2:10	fairly 20:7	fit 12:7	gaps 11:4
exactly 10:23	faith 12:16	flatly 25:8,8	generic 24:20
exactly 10:23	false 25:8,8,11,25	flavors 30:21	generics 25:23
_	26:1	focus 31:3 40:11	geoff 5:20
exchanging 9:19	far 12:23		george 3:18
exculpations 39:18	fast 24:10,16,25	focusing 34:9 food 24:9	gestalt 23:1
exercise 16:12	favor 16:14	footnote 26:12	give 8:21 22:19
18:5 33:18	fda 24:7,9,16,19	footnotes 30:5	40:22
exhibits 31:19	25:9,19 26:2	footprint 18:1,1	gives 19:7 22:20
	27:10,15,19,22	forbid 28:16	glass 24:22 29:5
existing 16:1 exit 20:11 23:2	28:2 32:16,19,20		go 15:24 26:5
CAIL 20.11 23.2	32:21 33:23	foregoing 43:3	28:20 38:11
		ral Calutions	

[goal - intensely] Page 6

	I		
goal 34:19	h	hither 14:9	iii 2:6
god 10:21 28:16	half 28:12 29:1,22	hoc 3:21 20:5	immediate 29:22
going 9:6 14:14	halfway 14:18	21:19 33:15	implications 37:1
16:15,17 17:6,7,9	hand 8:18,19 9:23	hon 1:22	important 7:8,15
17:17,18 21:21	10:11 12:11 16:18	honor 7:4,7,24	13:18,22 15:4
22:25 24:23 27:14	23:4 26:4 27:14	8:10,16,20 9:5,10	20:3 31:8 39:12
27:14 28:8 33:3	38:19	12:14,19 13:3,8	imposed 15:23
35:3 39:16,20	handed 29:5	13:12 14:12 15:21	imposing 15:6
41:10		16:15,18 18:7,9	impressed 38:3
gold 5:10	happen 18:3	18:12 19:12 20:1	improve 29:20
good 7:2,4 11:18	happened 15:10	20:4,14,21 23:9	include 34:17
11:20 12:15 13:8	happening 21:22	24:4 28:8,9 30:11	includes 21:14
13:9 20:16 21:6	21:23	30:20,25 32:8,14	including 8:14,15
29:24 31:23 33:13	happens 28:15	34:15,25 35:2	33:23,23,24 36:2
34:13 36:18	happy 7:7 16:16	36:18 37:19 38:8	39:21,21
gotten 11:1	23:12 26:4 34:17	38:12,17,25,25	incredibly 10:1
government 39:21	38:19	39:8 40:22 41:14	12:24 31:7
governmental	hard 7:13 12:24	honorable 8:13	indemnification
3:14	18:20 21:4 35:18	hope 9:8,11 10:21	37:12 38:3 39:5
governments 8:18	39:24	12:7,9 23:9 27:18	indicated 27:22
governor 7:23	harrison 5:11	27:25 33:10 36:9	indiscernible
grant 17:23 19:1	hashed 9:17	38:5,6 39:17	16:12 32:14 40:18
39:3	hauer 3:3	hopefully 8:10	informal 13:16
granted 7:20	he'll 35:18	12:2 33:25 35:25	37:8
18:25 24:16,19	headquarters 2:6	hopes 34:1	information 22:20
42:6,7,8	13:6,13 42:6	hospital 29:9	22:21 34:18 35:21
granting 2:7	hear 32:7	hour 28:24	ingesting 26:24
gratified 7:10	hearing 2:1,2,10	huebner 4:7 7:4,5	inherently 31:12
graulich 4:8 12:19	7:11,13,25 8:21	8:10 9:5 12:14	initiative 19:11
13:4,8,10,10	9:10,12 19:5,6	18:9 19:12,20	initiatives 12:21
1 1 1	23:15 30:21 31:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
14:12,25 15:21	34:22 35:6	20:1 32:10,14	24:20 31:11
16:13,21 17:2,5,8 18:7 20:10	heather 5:6	34:15 35:4,10,15	injectable 27:21
	heck 11:12	36:3,6,8,14 40:22	28:7
great 9:15	held 10:1	41:4,14	injection 27:10
grievous 30:16	help 14:17 27:25	huge 20:11 40:2,2	injects 29:14
group 3:14,21	high 26:23 29:13	hundred 31:4	injunction 7:20
guess 9:6 12:8	29:22	hurley 3:10	8:4 30:21,22
26:10	highly 23:23	hyde 2:25 43:3,8	input 8:2
guidance 41:15	hill 5:12	i	insert 28:17
guide 15:16	hint 16:15	iacs 37:4	intend 19:16
gump 3:3	historical 23:22	ideally 17:25	intended 24:11
	history 32:25 33:7	ii 2:5	intensely 12:15
	33:8,10 41:6		
	<u> </u>	zal Solutions	

[interact - match] Page 7

interact 21:25	june 23:8 31:8	late 22:18	loaded 28:18
interest 9:15 12:3	jurisdiction 40:1	law 5:15 40:2 41:8	local 8:18
13:25 31:16 39:21		113 40:2 41:8 41:9	lock 10:21 20:10
interlocked 14:6	k		locked 14:10
	k 27:15,16	layn 8:13	
intolerably 10:15	keep 13:1 35:22	learn 31:8	locking 20:20
intramuscularly	keeping 22:15	lease 2:5,6 14:6,8	long 28:14 29:22
27:11	keeps 31:22	14:25 15:1,3,5,13	30:13
involve 11:8	kelly 5:14	15:15 16:1 17:17	longer 11:5 14:23
iowa 7:23	kenneth 8:13	20:9	15:12 16:6
irrelevant 25:1	kesselman 34:16	leases 2:7 14:6,23	look 22:17 31:2
issue 8:14 9:15,25	key 21:25 33:15	17:12,12	38:21
27:16 35:23,23	killing 25:13	leave 10:22 12:8	looked 14:22
39:17,25	kind 8:21 10:20	33:10 41:6	looks 22:7
issued 25:21		leaving 41:3	lost 35:25
issues 7:8 10:5,10	23:22 27:2 30:6	ledanski 2:25 43:3	lot 7:10 12:12
12:16 13:18,19	kleinman 5:13	43:8	22:5
21:10 32:5 40:17	knight 5:14	left 11:1	lots 24:5
issuing 8:7	know 7:16 9:14	legal 43:20	love 27:23
item 13:5,12	10:7 11:11 13:2	legitimate 16:8	low 23:6 31:25
18:10 35:16 36:21	14:18 15:6 17:6,8	letter 12:20 27:20	lower 14:7
iv 2:7 27:11	19:2 21:8,21 22:8	37:13	lowne 15:24 19:15
_	22:11 24:23 27:2	letting 32:1 35:21	19:24 28:8
j	27:8 28:5,9,16,22	level 20:20	
: 2.22	20 2 20 17 10	level 20.20	l m
j 2:22	29:3 30:15,18	lavamaga 16.5	
j 2:22 jam 18:21	29:3 30:15,18 31:16,23 32:15	leverage 16:5	m 3:18,25 5:6,10
	· ·	lexington 4:3	
jam 18:21	31:16,23 32:15	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5	m 3:18,25 5:6,10
jam 18:21 james 4:6	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24 jesse 5:4	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20 35:17 36:22 37:7	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16 line 11:7 42:4	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9 making 8:8 15:8
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24 jesse 5:4 job 23:11 25:2	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20 35:17 36:22 37:7 37:10 42:8	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16 line 11:7 42:4 lisovicz 3:11 5:17	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9 making 8:8 15:8 41:11,12
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24 jesse 5:4 job 23:11 25:2 32:20	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20 35:17 36:22 37:7 37:10 42:8	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16 line 11:7 42:4	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9 making 8:8 15:8 41:11,12 march 8:20 9:2
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24 jesse 5:4 job 23:11 25:2 32:20 jobs 20:16	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20 35:17 36:22 37:7 37:10 42:8	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16 line 11:7 42:4 lisovicz 3:11 5:17	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9 making 8:8 15:8 41:11,12 march 8:20 9:2 23:7 31:8
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24 jesse 5:4 job 23:11 25:2 32:20 jobs 20:16 john 19:15	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20 35:17 36:22 37:7 37:10 42:8	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16 line 11:7 42:4 lisovicz 3:11 5:17 list 10:4	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9 making 8:8 15:8 41:11,12 march 8:20 9:2 23:7 31:8 mark 5:16 7:12
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24 jesse 5:4 job 23:11 25:2 32:20 jobs 20:16 john 19:15 joint 36:12,22	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20 35:17 36:22 37:7 37:10 42:8	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16 line 11:7 42:4 lisovicz 3:11 5:17 list 10:4 literally 10:15	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9 making 8:8 15:8 41:11,12 march 8:20 9:2 23:7 31:8 mark 5:16 7:12 35:8
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24 jesse 5:4 job 23:11 25:2 32:20 jobs 20:16 john 19:15 joint 36:12,22 jointly 8:13 37:7	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20 35:17 36:22 37:7 37:10 42:8 1 1 3:8 4:15 l.p. 1:7 2:23	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16 line 11:7 42:4 lisovicz 3:11 5:17 list 10:4 literally 10:15 29:12 32:25 36:11	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9 making 8:8 15:8 41:11,12 march 8:20 9:2 23:7 31:8 mark 5:16 7:12 35:8 market 25:15,18
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24 jesse 5:4 job 23:11 25:2 32:20 jobs 20:16 john 19:15 joint 36:12,22 jointly 8:13 37:7 judge 1:23 12:5	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20 35:17 36:22 37:7 37:10 42:8 l 1 3:8 4:15 l.p. 1:7 2:23 lack 11:13	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16 line 11:7 42:4 lisovicz 3:11 5:17 list 10:4 literally 10:15 29:12 32:25 36:11 37:23	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9 making 8:8 15:8 41:11,12 march 8:20 9:2 23:7 31:8 mark 5:16 7:12 35:8 market 25:15,18 25:22 26:9 27:24
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24 jesse 5:4 job 23:11 25:2 32:20 jobs 20:16 john 19:15 joint 36:12,22 jointly 8:13 37:7 judge 1:23 12:5 16:13 34:10	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20 35:17 36:22 37:7 37:10 42:8 l 1 3:8 4:15 l.p. 1:7 2:23 lack 11:13 laid 34:7	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16 line 11:7 42:4 lisovicz 3:11 5:17 list 10:4 literally 10:15 29:12 32:25 36:11 37:23 little 9:7 20:2	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9 making 8:8 15:8 41:11,12 march 8:20 9:2 23:7 31:8 mark 5:16 7:12 35:8 market 25:15,18 25:22 26:9 27:24 markups 9:20
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24 jesse 5:4 job 23:11 25:2 32:20 jobs 20:16 john 19:15 joint 36:12,22 jointly 8:13 37:7 judge 1:23 12:5 16:13 34:10 judgement 11:25	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20 35:17 36:22 37:7 37:10 42:8 l 1 3:8 4:15 l.p. 1:7 2:23 lack 11:13 laid 34:7 landlords 14:16	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16 line 11:7 42:4 lisovicz 3:11 5:17 list 10:4 literally 10:15 29:12 32:25 36:11 37:23 little 9:7 20:2 24:22 25:17 31:2 lives 27:25 31:23	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9 making 8:8 15:8 41:11,12 march 8:20 9:2 23:7 31:8 mark 5:16 7:12 35:8 market 25:15,18 25:22 26:9 27:24 markups 9:20 marshall 4:7 7:5
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24 jesse 5:4 job 23:11 25:2 32:20 jobs 20:16 john 19:15 joint 36:12,22 jointly 8:13 37:7 judge 1:23 12:5 16:13 34:10 judgement 11:25 18:6 33:18	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20 35:17 36:22 37:7 37:10 42:8 l 1 3:8 4:15 l.p. 1:7 2:23 lack 11:13 laid 34:7 landlords 14:16 language 37:24,24 38:3,9	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16 line 11:7 42:4 lisovicz 3:11 5:17 list 10:4 literally 10:15 29:12 32:25 36:11 37:23 little 9:7 20:2 24:22 25:17 31:2 lives 27:25 31:23 35:25	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9 making 8:8 15:8 41:11,12 march 8:20 9:2 23:7 31:8 mark 5:16 7:12 35:8 market 25:15,18 25:22 26:9 27:24 markups 9:20 marshall 4:7 7:5 masumoto 5:18
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24 jesse 5:4 job 23:11 25:2 32:20 jobs 20:16 john 19:15 joint 36:12,22 jointly 8:13 37:7 judge 1:23 12:5 16:13 34:10 judgement 11:25 18:6 33:18 judgment 33:1	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20 35:17 36:22 37:7 37:10 42:8 l 1 3:8 4:15 l.p. 1:7 2:23 lack 11:13 laid 34:7 landlords 14:16 language 37:24,24	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16 line 11:7 42:4 lisovicz 3:11 5:17 list 10:4 literally 10:15 29:12 32:25 36:11 37:23 little 9:7 20:2 24:22 25:17 31:2 lives 27:25 31:23 35:25 llp 2:18,20 3:3,20	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9 making 8:8 15:8 41:11,12 march 8:20 9:2 23:7 31:8 mark 5:16 7:12 35:8 market 25:15,18 25:22 26:9 27:24 markups 9:20 marshall 4:7 7:5 masumoto 5:18 37:15
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24 jesse 5:4 job 23:11 25:2 32:20 jobs 20:16 john 19:15 joint 36:12,22 jointly 8:13 37:7 judge 1:23 12:5 16:13 34:10 judgement 11:25 18:6 33:18	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20 35:17 36:22 37:7 37:10 42:8 l 1 3:8 4:15 l.p. 1:7 2:23 lack 11:13 laid 34:7 landlords 14:16 language 37:24,24 38:3,9 large 7:9 10:5 18:3	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16 line 11:7 42:4 lisovicz 3:11 5:17 list 10:4 literally 10:15 29:12 32:25 36:11 37:23 little 9:7 20:2 24:22 25:17 31:2 lives 27:25 31:23 35:25	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9 making 8:8 15:8 41:11,12 march 8:20 9:2 23:7 31:8 mark 5:16 7:12 35:8 market 25:15,18 25:22 26:9 27:24 markups 9:20 marshall 4:7 7:5 masumoto 5:18
jam 18:21 james 4:6 january 17:16,20 27:15 jasmine 4:22 jeremy 5:12,13,24 jesse 5:4 job 23:11 25:2 32:20 jobs 20:16 john 19:15 joint 36:12,22 jointly 8:13 37:7 judge 1:23 12:5 16:13 34:10 judgement 11:25 18:6 33:18 judgment 33:1	31:16,23 32:15 33:5,23 34:1 40:9 40:16 41:4 known 8:8 knows 9:10 kpmg 2:18,20 35:17 36:22 37:7 37:10 42:8 l 1 3:8 4:15 l.p. 1:7 2:23 lack 11:13 laid 34:7 landlords 14:16 language 37:24,24 38:3,9 large 7:9 10:5	lexington 4:3 liability 39:5 life 20:12 23:7 24:13 28:12,19 29:1,22 lightner 5:16 line 11:7 42:4 lisovicz 3:11 5:17 list 10:4 literally 10:15 29:12 32:25 36:11 37:23 little 9:7 20:2 24:22 25:17 31:2 lives 27:25 31:23 35:25 llp 2:18,20 3:3,20	m 3:18,25 5:6,10 14:16 maelstrom 30:23 magi 29:21 magnitude 34:1 majority 21:9 making 8:8 15:8 41:11,12 march 8:20 9:2 23:7 31:8 mark 5:16 7:12 35:8 market 25:15,18 25:22 26:9 27:24 markups 9:20 marshall 4:7 7:5 masumoto 5:18 37:15

[material - offer] Page 8

material 10:5,10	mindful 40:4,16	move 19:14	newman 5:22
matter 1:5 8:2	mineola 43:23	moving 13:1	news 9:6,8 20:16
33:7 37:8	mini 8:25	17:18 20:10	29:24
matters 13:22	minor 16:23	multi 3:14	night 16:17 22:18
maximize 31:15	minutes 12:24	multiple 27:24	non 3:21 17:3
maximizing 31:3	23:24	mulvihill 5:20	26:18 29:4
mcclammy 4:6	miscited 40:5	murphy 5:21	nonstarter 19:4
mcdermott 5:19	misdescribe 36:10	mystifying 30:12	29:7
mean 14:2 16:7,11	misled 32:19	n	normally 38:5
21:25 22:25 23:9	mistake 40:3	n 3:1 7:1 42:1	north 31:13
24:23 26:10 32:20	41:13	43:1	notable 8:1
38:2	mitchell 3:10	nalmefene 24:17	note 7:9 11:21
meaningful 40:13	modest 21:17	24:20 25:5,9 26:8	32:12
meant 36:11	modify 37:10 39:6	26:8,14,21 27:7,9	noted 20:14 23:9
mechanic 11:7	modifying 37:12	28:7,10 29:20	notice 2:1,10,11
mediate 8:14	moment 19:3	naloxone 28:13	18:15,18 19:2,4,7
mediator 9:22	34:16	name 28:12	19:8 20:24,25
medical 23:22	moments 13:23	name 28.12 narcan 28:12,20	notion 18:13 28:7
24:14 29:4	money 10:2,3,14	28:21,23	notwithstanding
medication 25:4	11:17 31:10 35:19	nasal 27:13 28:13	40:19
31:7	36:16	nature 12:25	number 7:9,17
medications 25:24	monitor 7:18	33:20	8:10 9:5 10:6,7,8
30:9	32:24 33:23	near 11:3 28:14	10:9,10 21:1
meet 22:4	monitoring 33:22	necessarily 29:10	22:15 24:2 36:23
meetings 22:21	monthly 21:13,16	necessary 21:5	nunc 2:21
34:18	21:22	need 8:25 11:6	nw 3:15
melanie 4:15	months 8:15 14:5	12:2 18:24 21:8	ny 1:14 3:6,23 4:4
mentioned 40:6	15:24	22:10 23:14,23	4:13,20 43:23
merge 35:15	morning 7:2,4	32:10 40:8 41:1,2	0
message 15:4	13:8,9,13,23	needed 20:19	o 1:21 7:1 43:1
metaphorically	36:18	needle 29:13	o'connor 3:18
10:21	motion 2:4,10,11	needs 24:14	object 21:21
methodologies	2:15,15 8:12,20	negative 15:17	objections 13:15
27:12	9:12 10:25 13:14	negative 13.17 negotiated 20:18	13:16 19:18
methodology 28:2	13:15,16 17:22,23	21:2	obtain 16:4 39:24
michael 5:21,25	18:11,12,16,23,24	negotiating 10:20	obviously 12:21
michelle 5:3	19:1,7,14,21	neutral 33:1	19:15,20 20:5,21
microscope 40:24	20:22 31:20 33:14	never 28:2	22:13 24:24 27:13
microscopic 16:5	33:16 35:7 42:6,7	new 1:2 2:6 3:6,23	27:18,23 28:9
middle 28:3	42:8	4:4,13,20 14:7,8	29:7 30:8 32:12
millennium 40:7	motions 18:19	14:11,13 28:1	occupied 16:25
milligram 27:13	19:2 35:8	32:17 38:6	offer 24:6
		32.17 30.0	21.0

office 37:24 40:10	original 18:14	party 39:17,23,25	plains 1:14
40:19	orion 12:4	40:14	plan 10:18 16:10
official 2:21 36:4	otterbourg 4:10	passage 10:14	18:2 20:14 21:8
36:8	overcome 29:23	passionately 10:1	40:2,15
oh 35:10	overdoes 27:23	patently 25:8	pleading 23:1,20
okay 7:2 8:9 9:4	overdose 25:11	path 33:3	30:13 32:11
11:15 12:18 13:7	26:17 27:1 28:13	pathway 11:2	pleadings 14:3
14:2 16:7 17:4,21	28:16	paul 6:2	please 29:6
17:23 18:8 19:1	overdoses 34:3	payment 12:11	plimpton 4:17
19:19,25 21:21	overdosing 29:7	peace 40:12	plug 33:19
32:5 35:1,10 36:7	oversight 10:3	pearlman 5:24	podium 36:16
38:2,11,18 39:2	overstate 25:1	people 7:13 8:24	point 11:16 15:10
39:10 41:16	overwhelms	10:16,22 11:19	18:19 29:1 32:23
old 43:21	28:23	14:19 18:16,21	33:11
omnibus 2:2 7:11		25:2,14 28:22	policy 12:2
omnibuses 8:22	p	29:5,21 30:3	polk 4:1 7:5 10:19
once 10:14 15:25	p 3:1,1 5:23 7:1	31:12 32:20 33:4	13:11 36:19 40:23
16:13 34:24	package 23:16	41:11	porat 6:1
open 10:5,10	padjen 5:23	pera 5:25	position 40:11,19
22:15	page 37:11 38:20	percent 31:5	positive 9:8
opening 8:16	42:4	perfect 11:19 12:5	possession 16:9
operating 21:13	pages 30:13	perfectly 16:8	possibilities 22:16
21:16 25:16	paid 33:15	period 14:8,10	possibly 11:8
opiant 27:9,12	painful 10:16	15:20 25:11	post 20:12
opiant's 27:15,18	paragraph 37:10	permanent 21:10	potential 8:17
opinion 39:13	37:12,23,25	person 10:19 33:2	22:12 23:6 24:14
opioid 25:11,17	parent 17:3,17	personnel 29:4	27:7 28:11 37:1
26:15,17,25 27:23	park 3:5 4:12	perverted 41:10	potentially 15:15
28:6,17 31:6 34:3	part 17:18 33:6	pharma 1:7 2:23	15:16 28:4 29:12
34:3	39:12 40:1,14	7:3 41:5	35:21
opposed 19:22	parte 2:10	pharmacokinetic	power 39:23,25
21:15	participating	22:6	powerful 28:25
option 23:6 31:25	36:15	pharmacological	practice 41:8
optionality 22:15	particular 8:1	22:5	pre 24:21 29:8
oral 26:24	20:6 21:12	phillips 8:13	precedent 34:10
order 2:4,11,19	particularly	phone 14:20	predict 34:12
14:3 16:16,22	39:15	37:16	preference 35:11
17:24 22:17 35:3	parties 7:16 9:1	pick 10:8	prefers 37:25
35:4,8,9 36:15,25	9:16,19 10:13,19	pictures 12:4	preis 3:9
37:6,11,20 38:4,9	11:14 12:1,7	pill 26:24	prepared 40:13
39:4,7	17:13,14,15 18:15	pillsbury 3:20	present 5:1 19:21
	19:7 20:17 22:21	pittman 3:20	presentations
orders 34:1 35:11			
orders 34:1 35:11 39:6	31:16 33:24 36:14 39:17,20 40:12	pittinan 5.20	8:25

[preserve - report] Page 10

quote 24:3,4,11

preserve 31:10
press 8:7 36:9
pretty 11:22
14:13 22:1
price 35:1
private 8:19
prize 14:15
pro 2:21
probably 11:5,6
17:9 24:5
problem 22:24
proceed 24:19
32:4 34:23 35:19
35:22
proceeding 31:25
proceedings 37:5
41:17 43:4
process 14:17,19
33:6
produced 24:3
product 21:23
22:10,12,14 23:23
24:3,10,17,24
25:10,15 26:20,22
27:3,19,25 31:25
productive 11:5
productively
34:23
products 24:8
26:9 27:24
program 33:17
progress 7:8 9:13
9:24 12:22
prompted 39:12
proper 18:5 33:17
33:22
properly 15:8
propose 13:3 37:7
proposed 13:23
17:24 22:17 37:11
37:20 38:4,9 39:4
propriety 32:2
_ -

protecting 32:16 protections 40:16 **prove** 25:7 26:1 proves 28:4 provide 20:2 23:12 33:24 providence 15:9 provides 22:13 provision 22:19 34:18 37:17 provisions 37:12 39:6 **public** 21:6 32:16 publicly 8:8 **pull** 33:19 **pulling** 32:2 33:3 **purdue** 1:7 2:23 7:2,6 27:8,20 29:16,19 30:2,14 36:20 41:5 purpose 33:9 **pursue** 34:14 pursued 24:8 pursuing 24:25 25:3 **put** 11:17 19:16 23:4 33:13

quarropas 1:13 quarterly 22:4,20 34:18 question 11:11 15:22 16:23 19:24 22:9 24:24 31:21 32:4 questionable 27:3 questions 13:21 16:7,8,21 19:17 34:6 38:13,25 quickly 9:17 quite 11:8 20:25

32:2

q

25:5 29:18 r r 1:21 3:1 7:1 43:1 **racing** 22:10 radically 29:19 raise 12:20 **raises** 13:17 **ramps** 23:2 **rdd** 1:3 reach 12:10 33:5 **read** 26:12 28:10 30:5 reading 26:7 ready 17:19 25:23 real 18:4 32:5 realize 20:17 41:8 realized 14:20 really 8:16 10:15 11:18 18:20 23:5 26:21 31:19 32:21 33:8 41:8 reason 11:16 34:23 reasonable 16:11 20:25 reasonably 22:22 reasons 25:22 32:24 38:22 reassess 32:1 received 13:15,15 13:16 37:8 recertified 25:20 recognizes 33:12 recognizing 12:10 33:20 34:8 reconciling 9:24 **record** 7:5 11:23 12:4 13:10 19:21 34:12 36:19 43:4 redacted 2:16 20:22

redline 17:24 37:18,20 reevaluation 23:3 referring 38:15 reflect 35:9 reflected 15:6 20:11 reflecting 37:18 **reflects** 8:1 12:1 **region** 37:25 regular 29:10 regulatory 22:6 24:7 **reject** 15:20 rejection 2:5 **related** 2:7,12 17:14 relates 13:13 relationship 17:11 relatively 21:17 23:6 release 8:7 26:17 26:18 30:7 39:23 39:25 40:14,20 releases 39:18,24 relevance 26:21 relevant 23:23 relief 2:7 11:18 19:6 35:7 39:1 relying 32:21 **remain** 12:17 reminiscent 30:19 rent 14:7,9 15:2 rental 18:1 reorganization 20:15 21:8 reorganize 15:17 reorganized 15:9 15:14 repeat 7:24 **report** 7:7,19 21:14,16

[reporting - sort] Page 11

reporting 21:22	reviewed 14:2	saving 23:7 35:25	shaw 3:20
represent 40:3	19:23	saw 23:11	shea 1:25 6:3
request 22:3,22	revise 35:3,4	saw 23.11 saying 11:23 12:4	shoots 29:13
39:1	revised 16:16,22	41:10	short 29:13
requested 7:20	17:23 22:17	says 22:8 39:24	shorten 18:11
22:20	ride 16:2	says 22.6 33.24 scale 23:5	19:2,4,7 35:7 42:7
require 36:25	right 17:10,21	scenarios 34:7,8	shortened 19:8
required 8:3	19:23 20:23 23:5	scene 25:13	shortening 2:11
23:10 31:14	25:20 26:2 27:5	schedule 19:5	shot 26:15
requisite 20:15	28:20 29:8,18	schwartzberg 6:2	show 18:20
rescue 26:16 27:1	30:17 32:6 34:5	37:16	shrunk 10:4
27:7	34:20 36:13 37:14	science 27:14	shut 31:9
resected 20:7	38:2,21 39:3 40:7	sean 5:7	shuts 28:3
reservations 14:9	rights 8:5 14:9	second 11:24 12:3	side 41:12
resolve 13:1	ripcord 32:3	18:10 19:13 25:5	significant 17:25
resources 24:4	risk 8:24 14:10	28:21 29:3 34:10	simple 31:2
respect 2:11 8:1	road 43:21	35:13 40:5 41:10	simply 14:5 28:14
9:9 14:13 16:22	robert 1:22 5:23	secretary 7:18,21	28:23 29:3 30:1
18:11 32:16 37:2	robertson 36:17	7:22 8:6	single 17:17
respected 33:2	36:18 37:15,19,22	section 11:22 24:9	sits 10:15
respectfully 39:1	38:8,12,17,19,24	security 13:5	skorostensky 6:4
respiratory 28:18	39:8	see 12:7 21:22,23	slam 29:12
28:20	robison 36:19	22:18 23:7 26:3	slightly 9:7 14:9
responded 13:19	robust 20:7	29:6 30:6 35:13	21:7 34:2
responding 32:10	role 37:7	seen 30:20	slowly 30:5
response 37:9	roll 15:14	self 7:20	small 7:9 10:6
responses 13:21	room 1:13 10:21	sense 11:2 12:11	smith 6:5
rest 28:18	rooms 9:16 25:16	17:12 19:5 22:11	sole 20:23
restricted 21:14	routinely 39:6	31:11 33:20 34:7	solutions 43:20
restructuring	41:7	34:9,13,21	someplace 17:10
37:2	rule 16:14	sent 18:16	somewhat 14:7
retain 8:12 37:7	rulings 42:3	sentence 26:6	17:11
retained 7:18	running 19:10	separate 35:11	sonya 2:25 43:3,8
retention 2:19	runout 33:17	serious 24:12	sorry 26:18 38:14
35:17 36:12,22		seriously 31:22	sort 8:6,24 10:19
39:6 42:8	\$	serve 9:22	10:25 11:7 12:10
retrospect 8:11	s 2:13 3:1 5:17,21	served 7:22 36:8	13:20 14:20 15:7
returning 14:19	7:1	services 36:25	15:14,22,24 16:5
reversal 25:7,10	safe 25:6,9,25	set 8:20,25 18:12	20:20 21:25 23:18
26:1 30:10	27:22	share 30:18	25:17 26:12 29:2
reverse 28:17	safety 25:22	shareholders 37:4	29:10 30:1,11,14
review 27:19	sara 3:8	sharing 35:20	30:18,23
33:22 39:13	save 27:25 31:23	8 7 - 3	- -
	35:18 36:15		
		ral Calutions	

[sound - time] Page 12

sound 33:10 sounds 16:18 southern 1:2 40:20 space 14:7,11,13	straightforward 31:2 strange 31:19	swabs 29:15 swallow 31:17	therapy 26:15,19 thigh 29:13
southern 1:2 40:20			∟ ингн ∠7.1.)
40:20	Strange 51:19	syringe 24:21	thing 11:15 19:13
	strauss 3:3	29:5,9	20:11 30:11 39:10
	street 1:13 3:22	systems 29:3	things 7:10,21
14:14 15:25 16:24	strong 16:15	t	9:16 13:1 20:18
17:11,19	29:23		21:5 23:25 24:5
specific 25:10	strongly 10:1 40:9	t 43:1,1	29:25 30:15 34:9
speculative 24:3,3	study 27:16	take 14:8 15:24	34:20,20 35:19
speed 35:24	subject 20:14	16:15 17:19 26:24	40:4
spend 13:22 21:24	33:22	40:11 41:5	think 7:15 8:5
22:7 23:24	sublessee 17:15	takes 11:25	9:13,21 10:9,16
spent 21:11	sublessor 17:13	talk 7:10 9:2	10:18 11:2,4,4,22
spray 27:13	17:14	23:17,17 26:11	12:15,19,22 13:5
stage 21:9 22:15	submit 13:19	27:5 29:2	13:18,25 15:3
stages 19:11	submitted 15:23	talked 20:6	16:11 18:18,23
stages 19.11 stakeholders 22:1	35:10	talking 39:11	19:9,17 20:2,17
stand 9:14 19:17	subs 16:25	tax 2:18,20 36:22	21:15 22:11,21
stand 9.14 19.17 standard 11:23,25	substantial 9:23	36:25 37:1	23:21 24:4 25:16
38:10	21:9	taylor 5:11	
		tectonic 20:20	31:11,16 32:9,9
star 31:13	substantially	telephonically 5:1	32:10 33:7,14
starting 33:22	23:21	tell 9:13,17 24:2	34:5,13,21,25
state 3:14 8:18	success 7:12	26:23	35:2,10,16 36:2
stated 29:25	successful 25:7	telling 27:17	39:12,16 40:2,4
statement 13:17	26:1	tells 26:2	40:23
22:23 25:8,11,21	suffice 21:16	template 38:6	thinking 13:24
25:24 26:10 31:20	40:23	ten 14:25 15:1,24	third 4:19 17:13
statements 20:2	suggest 16:17	tenant 14:15,20	17:15 27:5 39:17
32:11	30:2	tent 18:21	39:23,25 40:6,14
states 1:1,12 3:21	suggestion 22:20	term 7:23 14:23	thomas 3:15
7:22 20:5 21:20	suite 3:15 43:22	15:12	thought 9:17
23:15,21,22 26:7	summit 10:19	termination 20:23	32:24 33:6 38:4
27:6 31:15 34:17	super 29:22	20:24	threatening 24:13
37:9	support 20:15	terms 8:4,4 33:18	three 7:14 9:5
status 24:17 34:13	40:13	35:20	10:7 12:20,23
statute 11:24	supported 21:8	terrible 28:6	13:17,21 15:2
15:23	supporting 21:20	test 28:3	27:3 30:13,14
statutory 15:20	sure 10:23 20:1	tested 28:3	34:7
stealing 15:8	25:20 26:21 38:14	testimony 19:25	thumb 23:4
stock 37:3	surprise 14:15	thank 18:7 19:12	tightrope 21:4
stood 25:23	susan 5:8	34:15 39:8 41:15	time 10:14 12:3
stop 14:19 27:16	sustained 10:12	41:16	12:11 18:11 19:6
27:20 33:4	30:7	71.10	19:8 20:23 21:6

[time - ways] Page 13

22.16.25.16.20.20	4 11.14.17	11_14.2		
23:16 25:16 29:20	true 11:14,17	understand 14:3	version 20:21,22	
30:19 42:7	26:11 29:8 40:12	38:22	39:7	
times 30:14	43:4	understandably	vetted 16:10	
timetable 19:10	truly 35:17,17	9:25	view 7:12 14:16	
timothy 4:8 13:10	trustee 37:9	understood 41:14	15:6 23:24 24:18	
title 31:14	trustee's 37:24	unfortunate 24:1	30:16	
today 8:8,23 9:14	try 11:6 12:22	unfortunately	views 8:2 9:24	
11:10 19:16 32:6	14:14 19:20 31:2	10:11 11:3 23:20	10:1 12:1	
today's 12:23	trying 11:13 28:5	unique 33:20	vilsack 7:18,21	
36:21 39:9	29:21 31:12,23	united 1:1,12 7:21	8:6	
told 7:11 16:13	32:21,23 35:22	26:7 27:6 31:14	virtually 9:20	
27:15,20	tunc 2:21	37:9	40:24	
tom 7:18	turn 13:4,5 20:1	unlawful 29:8	visibly 30:20	
top 10:1	36:16	unmet 24:14	vision 20:11 30:18	
topic 39:11	turned 17:12	unopposed 18:23	31:1	
topics 9:24 12:20	turning 7:14	28:9	visions 31:22	
18:20	19:13	unredacted 20:21	vonnegut 2:22	
totally 9:6 23:8	two 7:23 8:10	unrestricted	W	
27:3 39:11	10:7 19:3 20:2	21:14,15	wait 30:22	
track 24:10,16,25	24:20 26:7,13	unsecured 2:21	walk 16:16 21:4	
41:1	27:4 28:22 30:14	untraveled 9:6	28:24	
tracking 40:24	35:11 38:22	unusual 11:9	walking 13:24	
trained 29:4	twofold 15:22	35:20	want 8:24 10:8	
transaction 13:23	types 18:19 29:3	updates 7:15	15:4 19:24 21:3	
13:25	39:5	upset 30:20	21:22,22,23 22:4	
transcribed 2:25	u	upsetting 30:12	24:4 25:1 32:7	
transcript 43:4	u.s. 1:23 26:13	urge 40:9	35:1,8,22 38:14	
transferred 22:14	37:24 39:22 40:10	usable 29:12	wanted 11:10	
transition 14:10	40:18	use 10:2 11:18	12:20 14:24 16:1	
15:25	ucc 3:4 20:4	14:5 24:1,3 26:25	wants 24:6 26:3	
treat 34:3	ultimate 22:12	33:13,19 38:6	41:4	
treatment 24:12	35:7	V	wardwell 4:1 7:5	
24:20 30:8	ultimately 21:2	v 5:14,22	13:11 36:19	
treatments 26:8	22:5 25:7 28:4	valuable 28:4		
26:14	unaided 11:6	value 8:17 12:12	washington 3:16 way 8:3 10:23	
tremendous 9:1	unaware 40:25		11:2 15:17 18:2	
tried 9:22 16:3		30:24 31:4,5,15	22:11 23:5 28:25	
20:16	unbelievably	33:12,24		
troop 3:25 23:14	28:11	variety 7:8 9:24 29:25	29:17 31:13 32:4	
32:7	uncontested 7:11		34:21 36:1,10	
troubling 39:14	29:24 31:20 35:17	various 37:1	40:12,14	
trudy 6:5	37:8	vehicles 10:2	ways 13:19 17:14	
	underlying 19:6	veritext 43:20	22:13	
Veritext Legal Solutions				

[we've - z] Page 14

	I
we've 8:15 13:14	year 14:25 15:1,3
13:15,18 17:12	15:15,19 16:2
20:6,16 27:4 37:8	years 7:22
39:11	yesterday 8:12
week 10:18 11:5	yesterday's 21:13
weeks 9:20 10:6	yogi 33:11
10:12	york 1:2 3:6,23
weighty 20:11	• ·
weighty 20.11 welcomed 20:8	4:4,13,20
	Z
west 3:22	z 33:6
whatsoever 32:25	
white 1:14	
wide 9:24	
winthrop 3:20	
withdrawal 25:21	
withdrew 25:15	
witness 19:16	
wool 33:3	
word 25:20	
words 24:1 29:17	
work 7:13 9:1	
12:22,24 21:10	
24:23 32:1	
worked 18:13	
working 18:20	
21:3 27:4,7,13,21	
29:17,19 30:9,9	
30:15 31:6 35:18	
world 22:10 26:25	
41:4	
worse 16:6 26:5	
worth 24:25	
writing 13:19	
written 33:8,11	
wrong 30:4,16	
X	
x 1:4,10 20:24,25	
33:6 42:1	
y	
y 20:24 33:6	
yeah 14:12 32:15	
35:4 36:6 41:14	
33.130.0 11.11	